# Water Oxidation by Mononuclear Ruthenium Complexes with TPA-Based Ligands

Bhasker Radaram,<sup>†</sup> Jeffrey A. Ivie,<sup>‡</sup> Wangkheimayum Marjit Singh,<sup>†</sup> Rafal M. Grudzien,<sup>†</sup> Joseph H. Reibenspies,§ Charles Edwin Webster,\*,† and Xuan Zhao\*,†

† Department of Chemistry, The University of Memphis, Memphis, Tennessee 38152, United States

‡ Department of Chemistry, Physics, and Astronomy, Georgia College & State University, Milledgeville, Georgia 31061, United States § Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-3255, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The synthesis, characterization, and water oxidation activity of mononuclear ruthenium complexes with tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine (TPA), tris(6-methyl-2-pyridylmethyl)amine ( $Me<sub>3</sub>TPA$ ), and a new pentadentate ligand  $N<sub>1</sub>N-bis(2-pyridinylmethyl)-$ 2,2'-bipyridine-6-methanamine (DPA-Bpy) have been described. The electrochemical properties of these mononuclear Ru complexes have been investigated by both experimental and computational methods. Using  $Ce^{IV}$  as oxidant, stoichiometric oxidation of



water by  $\rm [Ru(TPA)(H_2O)_2]^2$  was observed, while  $\rm Ru(Me_3TPA)(H_2O)_2]^2$  has much less activity for water oxidation. Compared to  $\text{[Ru(TPA)(H_2O)_2]}^{2+}$  and  $\text{[Ru(Me_3TPA)(H_2O)_2]}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{[Ru(DPA-Bpy)(H_2O)]}^{2+}$  exhibited 20 times higher activity for water oxidation. This study demonstrates a new type of ligand scaffold to support water oxidation by mononuclear Ru complexes.

# **INTRODUCTION**

**PERTURNITY**<br> **Society A. bree:** Wangsheimayum Marjit Singh,<sup>3</sup> Rafal M. Cruckrien,<sup>1</sup><br>
Society A. bree: Wangsheimayum Marjit Singh,<sup>3</sup> Rafal M. Cruckrien,<sup>1</sup><br>
Society A. bree: Wangsheimayum Marjit Singh,<sup>3</sup> Rafal M. Cruc Oxidation of water to molecular oxygen is a reaction of vital importance to sustain life on earth.<sup>1</sup> Using the energy from sunlight, photosynthesis splits water into oxygen and extracts electrons from water to reduce carbon dioxide into carbohydrates.<sup>2</sup> Design of water oxidation catalysts represents a critical step in artificial photosynthesis for conversion and storage of sunlight into high-energy chemicals such as H2. <sup>3</sup> Synthetic structural and functional models of the oxygen-evolving center (OEC) in Photosystem II (PSII) have offered important insight into the water oxidation process catalyzed by the  $\mathrm{OEC}^4$ . It has been generally recognized that high-valent metal-oxo species, such as  $Mn=O$ ,  $Ru=O$ , and  $Co=O$ , are involved in splitting of the water molecule to produce molecular dioxygen.<sup>5</sup> Ru complexes have played an important role in developing water oxidation catalysts. The dinuclear cis, cis-[(bpy)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)RuORu(H<sub>2</sub>O)(bpy)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>4+</sup> complex known as "blue dimer" was the first molecular complex discovered to catalytically oxidize water to dioxygen.<sup>5e</sup> Over the past few years, a number of other types of dinuclear and mononuclear Ru complexes capable of oxidizing water to molecular dioxygen have been designed, synthesized, and characterized.<sup>6</sup>

Tetradentate ligand tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine (TPA) and its derivatives have been used extensively in modeling the active sites of mono- and dinuclear nonheme metalloproteins involved in dioxygen activation, such as Fe-, Cu-, and Ni-containing metalloproteins.<sup>7</sup> Ru complexes with TPA ligand have also received much attention.<sup>8</sup> Formation of high-valent Ru=O species has been reported for ruthenium complexes with TPA ligand.<sup>9</sup> For example, mononuclear  $\left[\text{Ru}(\text{TPA}) (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\right]^{2+}$  (1a) can achieve redox states from  $Ru^{II}$  to  $Ru^{VI}$  through a proton-coupled electron transfer process. Oxygenation of hydrocarbons by

 $Ru=O$  species has been reported.<sup>9</sup> Since TPA is a tetradentate ligand, it provides a scaffold to design novel types of penta- and hexadentate ligands and offers the opportunity to include a second-coordination sphere environment to tune the activity of metal complexes. We are interested in exploring the activity of water oxidation by high-valent  $Ru=O$  complexes based on  $TPA$ ligand. Here, we describe the synthesis, characterization, and water oxidation activity of mononuclear Ru complexes with TPA and its derivatives (Figure 1).

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

Materials and Synthesis. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich except noted. The reagent N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) was purified by recrystallization from boiling water before use. Other reagents such as 2-(aminomethyl)pyridine, 2,6-lutidine, benzoyl peroxide, potassium phthalimide,  $RuCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O$ ,  $(NH_4)_2Ce(NO_3)_{6}$ , and 6-methyl-2,2'-dipyridyl were used as received without further purification. Milli-Q H<sub>2</sub>O (18.2 M $\Omega$ ) was used in all experiments. H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>18</sup> was obtained from Cambridge Isotope Inc. Syntheses of tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine (TPA), tris(6-methyl-2-pyridylmethyl)amine (Me<sub>3</sub>TPA), and 6-(bromomethyl)-2,2'-bipyridine were carried out following literature methods.<sup>10</sup>  $\left[\text{Ru(TPA)(H_2O)_2}\right]\left(\text{PF}_6\right)_2$  (1a) was synthesized according to the literature method.<sup>9</sup>

Synthesis of N,N-Bis(2-pyridinylmethyl)-2,2'-bipyridine-6-methanamine (DPA-Bpy). To a solution of 6-(bromomethyl)- 2,2′-bipyridine (0.66 g, 2.65 mmol) in acetonitrile (50 mL) was added 1 equiv of di-(2-picolyl)amine (0.52 g, 2.65 mmol) at room temperature. The base di-isopropyl ethyl amine (0.69 mL, 3.98 mmol) was added

Published: October 14, 2011 Received: January 8, 2011

pubs.acs.org/IC



dropwise at 0 $\degree$ C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h, and the reaction progress was monitored by TLC. Upon completion of the reaction, water (50 mL) was added. The product was extracted into ethyl acetate (3  $\times$  50 mL) and washed with brine (2  $\times$ 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude compound. Recrystallization in hexane yielded a white solid (0.8 g, 81%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  3.88 (s, 4H, pyridyl methylene, H1),  $\delta$  3.90 (s, 2H, bipyridyl methylene, H6), 7.07 (dt, J = 5.76 Hz, 2H, py-H4), 7.21 (dt, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, bpy-H12), 7.46 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, bpy-H7), 7.59 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, py-H2), 7.60 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, py-H3), 7.71 (dt,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H, bpy-H8), 7.73 (dt,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H, bpy-H11), 8.17 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, bpy-H9), 8.36 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, bpy-H10), 8.46  $(d, J = 5 Hz, 2H, py-H5)$ , 8.58  $(d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, bpy-H13)$ . Anal. Calcd for C23H21N5: C, 75.18; H, 5.76; N, 19.06. Found: C, 75.01; H, 5.85; N, 18.89.

 $[Ru(TPA)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>](CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · H<sub>2</sub>O (1b).$  To a refluxed solution of  $\text{RuCl}_{3}$   $\cdot$  3H<sub>2</sub>O (0.20 g, 0.764 mmol) in ethanol (30 mL) was added TPA (0.222 g, 0.764 mmol). After refluxing for 10 min, 20 mL of an aqueous solution of AgCF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (0.588 g, 2.294 mmol) was added. The mixture was then refluxed for 24 h, and the precipitate was removed by filtration. After removal of solvent, the product was dissolved in a minimum amount of ethanol and filtered. After washing with ether and drying under vacuum, a dark green solid was obtained. Yield: 0.19 g (33%).  $^1\rm \overline{H}$ NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  4.56 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub> (axial)), 4.87-5.09 (ABq,  $J_{AB}$  =15.47 Hz, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub> (equatorial), 7.04(d, J = 7.91 Hz, 1H, Pyr-H3(axial)), 7.23 (t, J = 6.54 Hz, Pyr-H5 (axial)), 7.31 (t, 6.6 Hz, 2H, Pyr-H5 (equatorial)), 7.47 (d,  $J = 7.91$  Hz, 2H, Pyr-H3 (equatorial)), 7.59 (t, J = 15.57 Hz, 1H, Pyr-H4 (axial)), 7.80 (t, J = 15.57 Hz, 2H, Pyr-H4 (equatorial), 8.7(d, J = 4.96 Hz, 2H, Pyr-H6 (equatorial)), 8.97 (d,  $J = 5.09$  Hz, 1H, Pyr H6 (axial)). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{20}H_{23}F_6N_4O_9S_2Ru$ : C, 32.35; H, 3.12; N, 7.54. Found: C, 32.66; H, 3.00; N, 7.62. Absorption maxima  $(\lambda_{\text{max}})$  nm): 338, 404, 604.

 $[Ru(Me_3TPA)(H_2O)_2](CF_3SO_3)_2$  (2). Yield: 40%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (270 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  2.92 (S, 9H, methyl), 4.25 (s, 6H,  $-CH_2$ ), 7.25 (d, J = 7.02 Hz, 1H, Pyr-H3 (axial)), 7.27 (d, J = 7.02 Hz, 2H, Pyr-H3 (equatorial)), 7.74 (d, J = 7.02 Hz, 1H Pyr-H4 (axial)), 7.76 (d, J =7.01 Hz, 2H, Pyr-H4 (equatorial)), 8.34 (t, J =7.02 Hz, 3H, Pyr-H5). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>28</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>S<sub>2</sub>Ru: C, 35.98; H, 3.68; N, 7.30. Found: C, 36.41; H, 3.52; N, 7.40. Absorption maxima  $(\lambda_{\text{max}})$  nm): 610 (br).

[RuCl(DPA-Bpy)]Cl ·  $3H_2O$  · CH<sub>3</sub>OH (3a ·  $3H_2O$  · CH<sub>3</sub>OH). To a refluxed solution of  $RuCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O$  (0.17 g, 0.65 mmol) in 80 mL of ethanol was added dropwise a solution of DPA-Bpy (0.24 g, 0.65 mmol) in 10 mL of ethanol over a period of 25 min under an argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 12 h. Crude reaction mixture was filtered to remove inorganic material present in solution. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and purified by dissolving in a minimum amount of methanol and then washed with 20 mL of diethyl ether to get the purified product as a precipitate, which was dried under reduced pressure to afford dark red solid (0.30 g, 73%). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were grown by slow diffusion of ether into a concentrated solution of the complex in acetonitrile.  ${}^{1}\mathrm{H}\, \text{NMR}$  (CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 500 MHz): δ 4.90 (t, 2H, axial bipyridyl methylene, H6), 5.17 (d, 4H, equatorial pyridyl methylene, H1), 6.93 (t,  $J = 13.12$  Hz, 2H, py-H4,), 7.15 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, bpy-H7), 7.17 (dt, J = 11.88, 5.45 Hz, 2H, py-H5), 7.37 (d, J =7.91 Hz, 2H, Py-H2), 7.54 (t, J =7.91 Hz, 1H, bpy-H8), 7.59 (dt, J = 7.91 Hz, 2H, Py-H3), 7.78 (dt, J = 14.09 Hz, 1H, bpy-H12), 8.14 (dt,  $J = 8.21$  Hz, 1H, bpy-H9), 8.17 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H, bpy-H11), 8.40 (d,  $J = 8.15$  Hz, 1H, bpy-H10), 9.46 (d,  $J = 5.67$  Hz, 1H, bpy-H13). ESI-MS:  $m/z$  504.13 (calcd  $m/z^+$  for  $[M - Cl^-]^+$  503.97). Anal. Calcd forC24H30Cl2N5O4Ru:C, 46.16; H, 4.84; N, 11.21. Found:C, 46.35; H, 4.75; N, 11.23. Absorption maxima  $(CH_2Cl_2)$  ( $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  nm): 384 (sh), 430, 516.

 $[Ru(DPA-Bpy)Cl](PF_6) \cdot 3H_2O$ . To a cold aqueous solution of 3a was added dropwise a saturated solution of  $NH_4PF_6$ . The reaction mixture was filtered, washed with cold water, and dried to yield  $\text{[Ru(DPA-Bpy)Cl](PF_6) \cdot 3H_2O}$  as a dark red solid (0.30 g, 73%). ESI-MS:  $m/z^{+}$  504.24 (calcd  $m/z^{+}$  for  $[M - Cl]^{+}$  503.97). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>ClF<sub>6</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub>PRu: C, 39.35; H, 3.73; N, 9.98. Found: C, 39.48; H, 3.38; N, 9.90.

 $[Ru(DPA-Bpy)(H_2O)](PF_6)_2$  (3b). To a solution of  $[Ru(DPA-Bpy)(H_2O)](PF_6)_2$ Bpy)Cl](PF<sub>6</sub>)  $\cdot$  3H<sub>2</sub>O (0.085 g, 0.13 mmol) in 10 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O was added dropwise a solution of AgPF<sub>6</sub> (0.050 g, 0.20 mmol) in 2 mL of  $H_2O$ under an argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was refluxed overnight, and the precipitate was filtered through Celite. After removal of solvent, the residue was dissolved in a minimum amount of methanol, washed with diethyl ether, and dried under reduced pressure to yield a reddish solid (0.068 g, 68%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  4.93 (t, 4 H, axial bipyridyl methylene-H6), 5.07 (d, 2 H, equatorial pyridyl methylene-H1), 7.06 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, py-H4), 7.28 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, bpy-H7), 7.30 (dd, J = 5.3 Hz, 2H, py-H5), 7.51 (d, J = 7.64 Hz, 2H, py-H2), 7.75 (dt, J = 7.81 Hz, 2H, py-H3), 7.79 (dt, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, bpy-H8), 7.86 (dt,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 1H, bpy-H12), 8.23 (dt,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H, bpy-H11), 8.25 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, bpy-H9), 8.52 (d, J = 7.82 Hz, 1H, bpy-H10), 9.22 (d, J = 5.42 Hz, 1H, bpy-H13). ESI-MS:  $m/z^+$  613.7 (calcd  $m/z^+$  for  $[M - H_2O - PF_6]^+$  613.0). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{23}H_{23}F_{12}N_5OP_2Ru$ : C, 35.58; H, 2.99; N, 9.02. Found: C, 35.83; H, 2.95; N, 8.90. Absorption maxima  $(CH_2Cl_2)$   $(\lambda_{\text{max}}$  nm): 372 (sh), 410, 496.

Characterization Methods. UV-vis absorption spectra were measured in 18.2 M $\Omega$  Milli-Q H<sub>2</sub>O at room temperature using a HP-8452A diode array spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy was conducted on a Joel 270 MHz or a Varian DirectDrive 500 MHz spectrometer. Cyclic voltammetric measurements were performed in 0.1 M Britton Robinson buffer using a glassy carbon electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode, and a Ag/AgCl reference electrode. When a redox wave was not clear in cyclic voltammetry, square wave voltammetry was used to determine its redox potential. All oxygen evolution measurements were performed using a calibrated  $O_2$  electrode (YSI 5300A). Generally,  $Ce(IV)$  solution (0.33 M) was rapidly added to a stirred 4.0 mM complex solution in a 10 mL round-bottom flask, and  $O_2$  evolution was recorded over time. Analysis of dioxygen in a reaction headspace was conducted using a HP G1800A GCD system gas chromatography with an electron ionization detector. GC-MS monitoring of the formation of  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$ by complexes 1b, 2, and 3b (0.2 mM) was conducted in the presence of  $Ce^{IV}$  (0.66 M) in 1 M HNO<sub>3</sub>. Elemental analyses were conducted by Atlantic Microlab, Inc., Atlanta, GA.

X-ray Structure Determination. Crystals of 3a were obtained from slow diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution containing 3a at room temperature. A Leica MZ 75 microscope was used to identify a suitable crystal with very well-defined faces with dimensions (max, intermediate, and min) 0.1 mm  $\times$  0.1 mm  $\times$  0.01 mm. The crystal mounted on a nylon loop was then placed in a cold nitrogen stream maintained at 110 K. A BRUKER D8-GADDS X-ray (three-circle) diffractometer was employed for crystal screening, unit cell determination, and data collection. The goniometer was controlled using the FRAMBO software suite.<sup>11</sup> The detector was set at 6.0 cm from the

	$\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{III/II}}$	$(Ru^{\text{III/II}})^c$	$Ru^{IV/III}$	$(Ru^{IV/III})^c$	Ru <sup>V/IV</sup>	$(Ru^{V/IV})^c$	Ru <sup>VI/V</sup>	$(Ru^{VI/V})^c$
$1a^a$	0.42	$-0.12$	0.72	0.30	0.90	0.55	NA	0.85
1 <sub>b</sub>	0.42		0.73		0.97		1.20	
$\mathbf{2}$	0.26	0.00	0.62	0.24	1.00	0.63	1.36	0.83
3 <sub>b</sub>	0.60	0.26	0.84	0.56	NA		NA	
$3b^{\ell}$	0.89		1.20		1.75		NA	
		$\alpha$ <sup>a</sup> From ref 9. $\alpha$ <sup>b</sup> In 0.1 M HNO <sub>3</sub> . $\alpha$ <sup>c</sup> Computed redox potential.						

Table 1. Experimental and Computed Redox Potentials of 1, 2, and 3 in Britton–Robinson Buffer at pH 7,  $E_{1/2}$ , V vs NHE

crystal sample (MWPC Hi-Star Detector,  $512 \times 512$  pixel). X-ray radiation employed was generated from a Cu sealed X-ray tube (Cu  $K\alpha$  = 1.54184 Å with a potential of 40 kV and a current of 40 mA) fitted with a graphite monochromator in the parallel mode (175 mm collimator with 0.5 mm monocapillary optics).

Data Reduction, Structure Solution, and Refinement. Integrated intensity information for each reflection was obtained by reduction of the data frames with the program SAINT.<sup>12</sup> The integration method employed a three-dimensional profiling algorithm, and all data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors as well as for crystal decay effects. Finally, the data was merged and scaled to produce a suitable data set. The absorption correction program SADABS was employed to correct the data for absorption effects.<sup>13</sup>

Systematic reflection conditions and statistical tests for the data suggested the space group P1. A solution was obtained readily using SHELXTL (SHELXS).<sup>14</sup> All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The hydrogen atoms bound to carbon were placed in idealized positions [C–H = 0.96 Å,  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 \times$  $U_{\text{iso}}(C)$ . The structure was refined (weighted least-squares refinement on  $F^2$ ) to convergence.<sup>13</sup> X-seed was employed for final data presentation and structure plots.<sup>15</sup>

Density Functional Theory (DFT) Calculations and Computed Redox Potentials. The redox potentials were calculated using a method similar to the one devised by Roy et al.<sup>16</sup> The computed free energy of solution,  $\Delta G_{\rm soln}^{\rm comp}$ , is calculated from the free energy change in the gas phase of the redox couple,  $\Delta G_{\rm gas}^{\rm redox}$ , the solvation free energy change between the oxidized  $(\Delta G_{\text{solv}}^{\text{comp}}(\text{ox}))$  and the reduced species  $(\Delta G_{\rm solv}^{\rm comp}\ (\rm red))$ , and the number of protons, lost from the complex to solution  $(n)$  (eq 1). In order to account for the loss of a proton to solvent water, the experimental value for the solvation of a proton  $(\Delta G_{\rm solv}^{\rm exp}(H^+)$  =  $-265.9$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, the value suggested by Truhlar<sup>17</sup>) and the gas-phase Gibbs free energy of a proton  $(\Delta G_{\text{gas}}^{\text{exp}}(H^{\text{+}}) = -6.28 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})^{18}$  were used.

$$
\Delta G_{\text{soln}}^{\text{comp}} = \Delta G_{\text{gas}}^{\text{redox, comp}} + \Delta G_{\text{solv}}^{\text{comp}} (\text{red}) - \Delta G_{\text{solv}}^{\text{comp}} (\text{ox}) - n[\Delta G_{\text{solv}}^{\text{exp}} (H^+) + \Delta G_{\text{gas}}^{\text{exp}} (H^+)]
$$
(1)

 $\Delta G_{\rm soln}^{\rm comp}$  and the absolute value of the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE, also called the normal hydrogen electrode, NHE) in water ( $-4.44 \pm 0.02$  $V$ <sup>19</sup> are used to determine the standard one-electron redox potential,  $E_{\text{soln}}^{\degree,\text{comp}}$ , where F is the Faraday constant, 23.06 kcal mol  $^{-1}$  V  $^{-1}$  (eq 2).

$$
E_{\text{soln}}^{\circ, \text{comp}} = \frac{\Delta G_{\text{soln}}^{\text{comp}}}{-23.06 \frac{\text{kcal}}{\text{mol} \cdot \text{V}}} - 4.44 \text{ V}
$$
 (2)

The computed oxidation potentials the ruthenium species in water are shown in Table 1 with results referenced to the absolute value of the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) in water.

Theoretical calculations were carried out using Gaussian  $03^{20}$  and Gaussian  $09.^{21}$  Density functional theory<sup>22</sup> PBE [PBE exchange functional and correlation functional<sup>23</sup>] and  $M06<sup>24</sup>$  functionals with the default pruned fine grids for energies (75, 302), default pruned course grids for gradients and Hessians (35, 110) [neither grid is pruned for ruthenium], and nondefault SCF convergence for geometry optimizations  $(10^{-6})$ . All PBE calculations were carried out using Gaussian 03. All M06 calculations were carried out using Gaussian 09. $^{25}$  The basis sets utilized were the LANL08,<sup>26</sup> which is a triple-ζ-modified version of the original Hay-Wadt basis set with an ECP for ruthenium, and 6-311G- $(d)^{27}$  basis set for all other atoms. The density fitting approximation<sup>28</sup> for the fitting of the Coulomb potential was used for all PBE calculations; auxiliary density-fitting basis functions were generated automatically (by the procedure implemented in Gaussian 03) for the specified AO basis set. The Hessian was computed on gas-phase-optimized geometries, and standard statistical mechanical relationships were used to determine the change in Gibbs free energy in the gas phase,  $\Delta G_{\rm gas}$ . The solvation free energies,  $\Delta G_{\rm solv}^{\rm comp}$ , were calculated using two different methods, C-PCM<sup>29</sup> and SMD.<sup>30</sup> The C-PCM method was used to compute solvation free energies via the self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) keyword in Gaussian 03.<sup>29b</sup> The solvent cavity was built using the universal force field (UFF) radii from the UFF force field, $31$  as recommended by Roy et al. for this computational scheme.<sup>16</sup> In Gaussian 03 solvent calculations, the SCFVAC keyword was used and solvent parameters consistent with water were used to model implicit solvation by the solvent. All other default solvent parameters for water were used. The SMD method was used to compute solvation free energies via the self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) keyword for M06 in Gaussian 09. The SMD solvation model was used with the default parameters consistent with water as the solvent. The results from the PBE functional with C-PCM solvation free energies gave a superior linear correlation with the experimental values; therefore, the results from the M06 functional with SMD solvation free energies are not discussed further and are given in the Supporting Information (Figure S1).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Structure. Complex 1a was synthesized following literature method from reaction of bis- $\mu$ -chloro  $Ru^{II}$  dimer,  $[RuCl(TPA)]_2(PF_6)_2$ , with AgPF<sub>6</sub> under refluxing conditions.<sup>8,5</sup> However, attempts to synthesize the tris(6-methyl-2-pyridylmethyl)amine (Me<sub>3</sub>TPA) analog of the bis-μ-chloro Ru<sup>II</sup> dimer resulted in a low yield. We prepared mononuclear  $Ru^{II}$  complexes  $[Ru(TPA)(H_2O)_2](OTf)_2 \cdot H_2O$  (1b) and  $\left[\text{Ru}(M_{23}TPA)(H_{2}O)_{2}\right](\text{OTf})_{2}$  (2) from refluxing an ethanol solution containing  $RuCl<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O$ , AgOTf, and the corresponding ligand (TPA and  $Me<sub>3</sub>TPA$ ).

Bipyridine (bpy) and its derivatives have been used widely in ruthenium catalysts for water oxidation.<sup>5e,32</sup> Since TPA is a tetradentate ligand, both complexes 1 and 2 have two coordinated  $H_2O$  molecules. In order to investigate the chelating effects of polydentate ligands on the stability of metal complexes toward water oxidation, we introduced a bpy group into TPA ligand to produce a new type of pentadentate ligand, N,N-bis(2 pyridinylmethyl)-2,2′-bipyridine-6-methanamine (DPA-Bpy). DPA-Bpy was synthesized from reaction of di-2-picolylamine and 6-(bromomethyl)-2,2'-bipyridine (Scheme 1).<sup>10a</sup> Reaction

of  $RuCl<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O$  with DPA-Bpy in refluxing ethanol solution led to formation of a water-soluble complex [RuCl(DPA-Bpy)]Cl (3a). The coordinated Cl<sup>-</sup> in 3a could be substituted by a  $H_2O$ molecule to yield 3b from refluxing an aqueous solution of 3a in the presence of  $AgPF_6$ . Alternatively, complex 3b can be prepared from reaction of 1 equiv of AgPF<sub>6</sub> with  $\left[\text{RuCl}(\text{DPA-})\right]$  $[\text{Bpy}][(\text{PF}_6)]$ . The prepared compounds were characterized by elemental analysis,  $UV - vis$ , and  $1D$  and  $2D$  NMR (see the Supporting Information).

A single-crystal of complex 3a was obtained from slow diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution containing 3a at room temperature. The X-ray crystal structure of the cationic form of 3a is shown in Figure 2, with the crystallographic parameters listed in Table S2, Supporting Information. As shown in Figure 2, the Ru center adopts a pseudooctahedral geometry with five positions occupied by the pentadentate ligand, DPA-Bpy, and the final position by  $Cl^-$ , which lies in a position cis to the tertiary amine group and the two pyridine moieties which are trans to each other. The selected bond distances and angles of 3a

Scheme 1. Synthesis of DPA-Bpy Ligand and Complexes 3a and 3b





are shown in Table S3, Supporting Information. The two trans pyridine groups and the bipyridine group caused distortion of the Ru center from octahedral geometry, having  $\angle N(5)-Ru(1)$  $N(4) = 164.1(5)$ <sup>o</sup> and  $\angle N(1) - Ru(1) - N(3) = 164.5(5)$ <sup>o</sup>, respectively. The  $Ru-N$  and  $Ru-Cl$  distances are similar to those reported in the literature.<sup>8,33</sup>

1D and 2D NMR experiments were carried out to characterize all complexes in solution state, and the assignments of each resonance were accomplished based on their integration, multiplicity, and symmetry (see the Experimental Section and Supporting Information Figures  $S2 - S5$ ). As shown in Figure S3, Supporting Information, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of complex 3a shows the two pyridine groups have the same NMR features, indicating the presence of  $C_s$  symmetry for 3a in solution with a plane containing the tertial amine and the bipyridine group. Such structural feature of 3a in solution is consistent with its solid state X-ray structure. Table S1, Supporting Information, lists the comparison of each resonance in free ligand, DPA-Bpy, and its Ru complexes 3a and 3b. Compared to free DPA-Bpy, coordination of Ru caused the downfield shifts of all three methylene groups and  $H11-13$  (Figure S3, Supporting Information). An upfield shift was clearly observed for H2, H5, H7, and H9 in both 3a and 3b.

Spectroscopic and Redox Properties. The UV-vis spectra of 1b and 2 in water solution are displayed in Figure 3a, which showed slight changes in MLCT bands from the Ru( $d\pi$ )  $\rightarrow$  $py(p\pi^*)$  transition, indicating the TPA and Me<sub>3</sub>TPA ligands have similar  $π$ -acceptor character.<sup>8</sup> As seen from Figure 3b, substitution of  $Cl^{-}$  by a H<sub>2</sub>O molecule shifts the absorption spectrum of 3a in  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  from 430 and 516 nm to 410 and 496 nm, respectively, in 3b.

Previous studies have shown that compound 1a at pH 7 exhibits a sequence of three redox events centered at 0.42, 0.72, and 0.90 V (vs NHE), corresponding to the  $Ru^{III/II}$ ,  $Ru^{IV/III}$ , and  $Ru^{V/IV}$  couples, respectively.<sup>9</sup> The electrochemical properties of complexes 1b, 2, and 3 were investigated in aqueous solution at pH 7 at room temperature. The cyclic voltammogram of 1b at pH 7 exhibits a reversible  $Ru^{III/II}$  couple at 0.42  $\tilde{V}$  (vs NHE), the same as that reported for 1a (Figure 4a). The barely visible  $Ru^{IV/III}$  couple was determined to be 0.73 V from square wave voltammetry. The  $Ru^{V/IV}$  and  $Ru^{VI/V}$  couples appear at 0.97 and 1.20 V, respectively, similar to that previously observed for 1a.<sup>9</sup> Compared to 1b, the CV of 2 showed much weaker redox signals (Figure 4b). The potentials for the redox state changes of  $2$  from  $Ru^{II}$  to  $Ru^{VI}$  were determined by square wave voltammetry (Table 1 and Figure 4c). At pH 7, complex 3b exhibits two reversible redox waves at 0.60 and 0.84 V, which were assigned to  $Ru^{III/II}$  and  $Ru^{IV/III}$ , respectively. At pH 1 (0.1 M HNO<sub>3</sub>), the Figure 2. X-ray structure of the cationic moiety of 3a.  $\frac{1}{2}$  corresponding redox potentials for the  $\frac{1}{R}$ u<sup>III/II</sup> and  $\frac{1}{R}$ u<sup>IV/III</sup>



Figure 3. UV-vis spectra of (a) 1b (solid line) and 2 (dotted line) in H<sub>2</sub>O and (b) 3a (dotted line) and 3b (solid line) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.



Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms of (a) 1b and (b) 2 and (c) square wave voltammogram of 2 in 100 mM Britton-Robinson buffer at pH 7: scan rate, 100 mV/s; working electrode, glassy carbon; counter electrode, Pt wire; reference electrode, Ag/AgCl.



Figure 5. (a) Cyclic voltammograms of 3b (1 mM) in 100 mM KPi buffer at pH 7 (solid line) and 0.1 M HNO<sub>3</sub> (dotted line). (b) Comparison of CV in the absence (dotted line) and presence (solid line) of 3b (1 mM). Scan rate, 100 mV/s; working electrode, glassy carbon; counter electrode, Pt wire; reference electrode, Ag/AgCl.



**Figure 6.** Pourbaix diagram for complex 3b in aqueous Britton–Robinson buffer  $(E_{1/2} \text{ vs Ag}/\text{AgCl})$ .

couples appear at 0.89 and 1.22 V, respectively (Figure 5a). Another shoulder assignable to the Ru<sup>V/IV</sup> couple appeared at 1.72 V before the catalytic wave of water oxidation at pH 1 (Figure 5b). The Pourbaix diagram for complex 3b in the region of pH 1–14 is shown in Figure 6, with redox state changes from  $Ru^{II}$  to Ru<sup>V</sup>. The Ru<sup>III/II</sup> couple is  $-54 \text{ mV/pH}$ , and the Ru<sup>IV/III</sup> couple is  $-61$  mV/pH, consistent with a proton-coupled electron transfer process which enables formation of a high-valent Ru=O species. The p $K_a$  of coordinated H<sub>2</sub>O in 3b was determined to be 11.8 from pH titration in the range of pH  $7-13$ (Figure S6, Supporting Information), consistent with that observed the Pourbaix diagram of complex  $3b$ . The UV-vis spectral changes of 3b at different oxidation states are reported in Figure 7. Addition of 1 equiv of  $\mathrm{Ce}^{\mathrm{IV}}$  to 3b in 0.1 M  $\mathrm{HNO}_3$  resulted in a bleaching of the MLCT bands and the appearance of a broad peak at 350 nm. Further addition of 1 equiv of  $Ce^{IV}$  shifted the



Figure 6. Pourbaix diagram for complex 3b in aqueous Britton-<br>
Robinson buffer  $(E_{1/2}$  vs Ag/AgCl).<br>  $\begin{bmatrix} Ru^II-OH_2\end{bmatrix}^{2+}$  (solid line),  $\begin{bmatrix} Ru^{III}-OH\end{bmatrix}^{2+}$  (dotted line), and  $\begin{bmatrix} Ru^{IV}=O\end{bmatrix}^{2+}$ (dashed line) generated from stoichiometric oxidation with  $Ce^{IV}$  in  $0.1$  M HNO<sub>3</sub>.

350 nm peak to a weak band at 430 nm, corresponding to formation of  $Ru^{IV}=O$  species.

Introduction of the  $CH<sub>3</sub>$  group on the pyridine ring is expected to reduce the redox potential of its metal centers because of the electron-donating ability of the CH<sub>3</sub> group. However, previous studies have shown that the metal complexes with  $\alpha$ -substituted Me3TPA ligand have higher redox potentials than those with TPA ligand.<sup>7a,34</sup> The unexpected observation is due to the steric effect of the 6-CH<sub>3</sub> substituent on pyridine, which could increase the bond length of the  $M-N_{py}$  bond and result in a much weaker electron donor for  $6\text{-CH}_3$  pyridine.<sup>7a,34</sup> We carried out DFT calculations to investigate the effects of introducing a  $CH<sub>3</sub>$  group on the pyridine ring of TPA ligand on the redox potential of Ru complexes. The computed structures of  $Ru<sup>IV</sup>=O$  species for



Figure 8. DFT-optimized structures for dicationic  $Ru^{IV}=O$  species of (a) 1 and (b) 2.



Figure 9. Linear relationship between the computed and the experimental redox potential for complexes  $1 (x)$  and  $2 (+)$ .

1 and 2 showed similar effects, with 2 having longer  $Ru-N_{eq}$ bond distances (2.17 Å) than that of 1 (2.11 Å) (Figure 8). As shown in Table 1, DFT calculations predict that the  $Ru<sup>V/IV</sup>$ couple for 2 has a potential of ∼80 mV higher than that of 1. The observed redox potentials for the Ru<sup>V/IV</sup> couple of 1 and 2 remain nearly unchanged, suggesting that the  $\alpha$ -substituted Me<sub>3</sub>TPA ligand has little effect on the redox potential of the Ru centers (Table 1). The trend in the computed redox potentials closely matches the trend observed in the experimental values redox potentials (Figure 9), suggesting DFT calculations can be used to predict the redox behavior of metal complexes and thus providing important guidelines for future studies.

Water Oxidation Studies. The oxygen evolution activity of complexes  $1-3$  was examined following literature methods using  $\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}$  as oxidant  $(2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{Ce}^{\text{III}})$ , and the evolved oxygen was monitored using a calibrated  $O_2$  electrode.<sup>35</sup> Addition of excess  $Ce^{IV}$  solution to 4.0 mM 1a  $(3 \text{ mL})$  solution resulted in rapid production of  $O_2$  (Figure S7a, Supporting Information). The evolved gas was confirmed to be  $O_2$  by GC-MS, and the amount of O<sub>2</sub> produced was calculated to be  $\sim$ 12  $\mu$ mol, corresponding to formation of 1 equiv of O<sub>2</sub>. When 97%  $H_2$ <sup>18</sup>O was used as a solvent, the appearance of a main peak at  $m/z = 36$  was observed, suggesting formation of  ${}^{18}O_2$  with  $H_2^{18}O$  as the source of  $O_2$  (Figure S7b, Supporting Information). Similar to that of 1a, water oxidation by complex 1b was investigated following the same procedure and the amount of  $O_2$  produced was determined to be  $1 O<sub>2</sub>/Ru$ , suggesting that the triflate counterion has little effect on the water oxidation activity (Figure S8a,

Supporting Information). For complex 2, we observed less than  $10\%$  of  $O_2$  formation compared to that of 1. This result could possibly be due to the steric effect of the  $CH<sub>3</sub>$  group. To test the water oxidation activity of 1 under neutral conditions, cyclic voltammetry of 1b was performed in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer at pH 7. As shown in Figure S8b, Supporting Information, the presence of 1b in buffer resulted in a strong irreversible anodic current at potential higher than 1.5 V (vs NHE) due to the electrocatalytic oxidation of water to  $O_2$ . The observation of water oxidation at a potential higher than 1.5 V indicated that a high-valent  $Ru(V)=O$  or higher oxidation state may be responsible for water oxidation. As shown in Figure 10a, the water oxidation activity of 3b was determined with a turnover number of 24. Under the same conditions, complexes 1a and 2 have much less activity in water oxidation. Therefore, there is a significant improvement in the water oxidation activity by introducing a bpy group in complex 1. The activity of these complexes is relatively low in terms of turnover number and turnover frequency, in an order similar to that reported for mononuclear  $\left[\text{Ru(bpy)}_{2}(\text{H}_{2}\text{O})_{2}\right]^{2+36}$ 

Decomposition of Ru catalysts under acidic conditions in  $\mathrm{Ce}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ solution has been noted, and a variety of pathways have been proposed to account for catalyst deactivation. Recently, Llobet and co-workers reported water oxidation by a series of tetranuclear Ru complexes and demonstrated that decomposition of methylene groups of tetranucleating ligands to  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  could be one possible pathway for deactivation of Ru catalysts.<sup>37</sup> Indeed, we also observed formation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  during the water oxidation process of  $1-3$ ; this result is probably due to the presence of methylene groups in TPA ligand. In order to determine whether complexes  $1-3$  act as real catalysts or just precursors for water oxidation, we monitored the simultaneous formation of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  using GC-MS. As shown in Figure 10b, the rate of  $O_2$  formation for complex  $3b$  is 12 times of that of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  formation in the presence of excess  $Ce^{IV}$  in 1 M HNO<sub>3</sub>. Under the same conditions, complex 1a displays a rate of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  formation at 1.5 times that of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  formation (Figure S9a, Supporting Information). However, the rate for  $CO_2$  formation of complex 2 is 1.7 times that of  $O_2$ formation (Figure S9b, Supporting Information); this result may explain the much lower water oxidation activity of 2 when compared to 1 and 3. These results clearly demonstrated oxidation of water by complexes  $1-3$ , and simultaneous oxidation of ligand to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  contributes to catalyst decomposition.

Oxidation of water by mononuclear Ru complexes has been demonstrated by a family of Ru complexes.5g,35,36,<sup>38</sup> The involvement of a high-valent Ru=O species, presumably  $Ru<sup>V</sup>=O$ , has been proposed as an active intermediate for oxidation of water. Oxidation of  $Ru^{II}$  to  $Ru^{IV}$  by the PCET process and its



Figure 10. (a) Oxygen evolution vs time after addition of 0.33 M Ce<sup>IV</sup> to 3 mL of 0.2 mM complexes 1a (dotted line), 2 (dashed line), and 3b (solid line) in 1 M HNO<sub>3</sub>. (b) GC-MS monitoring of the formation of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> during the catalytic oxidation of water by 3b (0.2 mM) in the presence of  $Ce^{IV}$  (0.33 M) in 1 M HNO<sub>3</sub>.

# Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism for Water Oxidation by Mononuclear Ru Complex



further oxidation to  $Ru^V = O$  may trigger oxidation of water to produce a peroxo-bound intermediate  $(Ru^{III}-O-O-H)$ , which can be oxidized with release of a proton and molecular  $O_2$ (Scheme 2).

#### **SUMMARY**

We have prepared and characterized new types of mononuclear Ru complexes with tripodal TPA tetradentate-ligand and DPA-Bpy pentadentate-ligand architectures. Compared to Ru complexes with the tetradentate TPA ligand, Ru complexes with the new pentadentate DPA-Bpy ligand have significantly increased stability and catalytic activity for water oxidation. While TPA ligand and its derivatives have been widely used in designing metal complexes for  $O_2$  activation, our studies have demonstrated that Ru complexes with TPA, TPA derivatives, and DPA-Bpy produce  $O<sub>2</sub>$  from water oxidation, demonstrating the versatile reactivities of TPA and DPA-Bpy ligand architectures when bound with different metal ions.<sup>39</sup> Further mechanistic studies of water oxidation by  $\left[\text{Ru(TPA)}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\right]^{2+}$ ,  $\left[\text{Ru(Me_3TPA)}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\right]^{2+}$ , and  $[Ru(DPA-Bpy)(H_2O)]^{2+}$  complexes (1, 2, and 3, respectively) and further modifications of TPA and DPA-Bpy ligands to improve the water oxidation activity of their metal complexes are currently in progress.

# **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

**b** Supporting Information. 1D and 2D NMR spectra, further results for calculation of redox potentials with DFT, oxygen evolution figures, and crystallographic data in CIF

format; complete list for refs 20 and 21. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

#### Corresponding Author

\*Email: cewebstr@memphis.edu; xzhao1@memphis.edu.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

We thank the Department of Chemistry at The University of Memphis for support. We thank the NSF, CHE 0851880 (C.E.W.), for the support of J.A.I. The authors also acknowledge computational hardware and software support from the University of Memphis High Performance Computing Facility and CROMIUM (Computational Research on Materials Institute at the University of Memphis). We thank Dr. Theodore Burkey for helpful discussions. ESI-MS measurements were done with the help of Dr. Daniel Baker and Dr. Trucchi Pham. The Thermo-Electron LCQ Advantage liquid chromatograph mass spectrometer and the Varian DirectDrive 500 MHz NMR spectrometer at the Department of Chemistry at The University of Memphis were purchased from funding provided by the National Science Foundation (MRI, CHE-0619682 and CRIF, CHE-0443627). The X-ray diffractometers, small angle scattering instrumentation, and crystallographic computing systems in the X-ray Diffraction Laboratory at the Department of Chemistry, Texas A & M University, were purchased with funds provided by the National Science Foundation (CHE-9807975, CHE-0079822, and CHE-0215838). We also thank the reviewers for valuable comments.

# **REFERENCES**

(1) Eisenberg, R.; Gray, H. B. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 1697–1699.

(2) (a) Barber, J. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 2006, 34, 619–631. (b) Renger, G. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2001, 1503, 210–228.

(3) (a) Lewis, N. S.; Nocera, D. G. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2006, 103, 15729–15735. (b) Hoertz, P. G.; Mallouk, T. E. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 6828–6840.

(4) (a) Mukhopadhyay, S.; Mandal, S. K.; Bhaduri, S.; Armstrong, W. H. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 3981–4026. (b) Dau, H.; Limberg, C.; Reier, T.; Risch, M.; Roggan, S.; Strasser, P. ChemCatChem 2010, 2, 724–761.

(5) (a) Yagi, M.; Narita, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8084–8085. (b) Poulsen, A. K.; Rompel, A.; McKenzie, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6916–6920. (c) Limburg, J.; Vrettos, J. S.; Liable-Sands, L. M.; Rheingold, A. L.; Crabtree, R. H.; Brudvig, G. W. Science 1999, 283, 1524–1527. (d) Brimblecombe, R.; Swiegers, G. F.; Dismukes,

G. C.; Spiccia, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7335–7338. (e) Gersten, S. W.; Samuels, G. J.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4029–4030. (f) Gilbert, J. A.; Eggleston, D. S.; Murphy, W. R., Jr.; Geselowitz, D. A.; Gersten, S. W.; Hodgson, D. J.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3855–3864. (g) Zong, R.; Thummel, R. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12802–12803. (h) Geletii, Y. V.; Botar, B.; Kogerler, P.; Hillesheim, D. A.; Musaev, D. G.; Hill, C. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3896–3899. (i) Kanan, M. W.; Nocera, D. G. Science 2008, 321, 1072–1075. (j) McEvoy, J. P.; Brudvig, G. W. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2004, 6, 4754–4763. (k) Pecoraro, V. L.; Baldwin, M. J.; Caudle, M. T.; Hsieh, W.-Y.; Law, N. A. Pure Appl. Chem. 1998, 70, 925–929. (l) Limburg, J.; Szalai, V. A.; Brudvig, G. W. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1999, 1353–1362. (m) Betley, T. A.; Wu, Q.; Van Voorhis, T.; Nocera, D. G. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 1849–1861. (n) McEvoy, J. P.; Brudvig, G. W. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 4455–4483. (o) Hull, J. F.; Balcells, D.; Blakemore, J. D.; Incarvito, C. D.; Eisenstein, O.; Brudvig, G. W.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 8730–8731.

(6) (a) Muckerman, J. T.; Polyansky, D. E.; Wada, T.; Tanaka, K.; Fujita, E. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 1787–1802. (b) Hurst, J. K.; Cape, J. L.; Clark, A. E.; Das, S.; Qin, C. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 1753–1764. (c) Deng, Z. P.; Tseng, H. W.; Zong, R. F.; Wang, D.; Thummel, R. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 1835–1848. (d) Liu, F.; Concepcion, J. J.; Jurss, J. W.; Cardolaccia, T.; Templeton, J. L.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 1727–1752. (e) Mola, J.; Mas-Marza, E.; Sala, X.; Romero, I.; Rodriguez, M.; Vinas, C.; Parella, T.; Llobet, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5830–5832. (f) Concepcion, J. J.; Jurss, J. W.; Norris, M. R.; Chen, Z.; Templeton, J. L.; Meyer, T. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 1277–1279. (g) Duan, L. L.; Fischer, A.; Xu, Y. H.; Sun, L. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10397–10399. (h) Yoshida, M.; Masaoka, S.; Sakai, K. Chem. Lett. 2009, 38, 702–703.

(7) (a) Zang, Y.; Kim, J.; Dong, Y.; Wilkinson, E. C.; Appelman, E. H.; Que, L., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 4197–4205. (b) Nagao, H.; Komeda, N.; Mukaida, M.; Suzuki, M.; Tanaka, K. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 6809–6815. (c) Suzuki, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 609–617. (d) Que, L., Jr.; Dong, Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 1996, 29, 190–196. (e) Jacobson, R. R.; Tyeklar, Z.; Farooq, A.; Karlin, K. D.; Liu, S.; Zubieta, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3690–3692.

(8) Kojima, T.; Amano, T.; Ishii, Y.; Ohba, M.; Okaue, Y.; Matsuda, Y. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 4076–4085.

(9) Hirai, Y.; Kojima, T.; Mizutani, Y.; Shiota, Y.; Yoshizawa, K.; Fukuzumi, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5772–5776.

(10) (a) Tyeklar, Z.; Jacobson, R. R.; Wei, N.; Murthy, N. N.; Zubieta, J.; Karlin, K. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 2677–2689. (b) Gultneh, Y.; Yisgedu, T. B.; Tesema, Y. T.; Butcher, R. J. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 1857–1867. (c) Murashima, T.; Tsukiyama, S.; Fujii, S.; Hayata, K.; Sakai, H.; Miyazawa, T.; Yamada, T. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 4060–4064.

(11) APEX2, Program for Data Collection on Area Detectors; BRU-KER AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2007.

(12) SAINT, Program for Data Reduction from Area Detectors; BRUKER AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2007.

(13) Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS, Program for Absorption Correction of Area Detector Frames; BRUKER AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2003.

(14) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 2008, 64, 112–122.

(15) Barbour, L. J. J. Supramol. Chem. 2003, 1, 189–191.

(16) Roy, L. E.; Jakubikova, E.; Guthrie, M. G.; Batista, E. R. J. Phys. Chem. A 2009, 113, 6745–6750.

(17) Kelly, C. P.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 16066–16081.

(18) Moser, A.; Range, K.; York, D. M. J. Phys. Chem. B 2010, 114, 13911–13921.

(19) Trasatti, S. Pure Appl. Chem. 1986, 58, 955–966.

(20) Frisch, M. J., et al. Gaussian 03, revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.

(21) Frisch, M. J., et al. Gaussian 09, revision A.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.

(22) Parr, R. G.; Yang, W. P. Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules; Oxford University Press: New York, 1989.

(23) (a) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865–3868. (b) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1997, 78, 1396.

(24) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Theor. Chem. Acc. 2008, 120, 215–241.

(25) A reviewer asked for a comparison to the computed results from the M06 functional.

(26) Roy, L. E.; Hay, P. J.; Martin, R. L. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2008, 4, 1029–1031.

(27) Krishnan, R.; Binkley, J. S.; Seeger, R.; Pople, J. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 72, 650–654.

(28) (a) Dunlap, B. I. J. Chem. Phys. 1983, 78, 3140–3142. (b) Dunlap, B. I. J. Mol. Struct.: Theochem 2000, 529, 37–40. (c) Dunlap, B. I.; Connolly, J. W. D.; Sabin, J. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1979, 71, 3396–3402. (d) Dunlap, B. I.; Connolly, J. W. D.; Sabin, J. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1979, 71, 4993–4999.

(29) (a) Barone, V.; Cossi, M. J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 1995–2001. (b) Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V. J. Comput. Chem. 2003, 24, 669–681.

(30) Marenich, A. V.; Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113, 6378–6396.

(31) Rappe, A. K.; Casewit, C. J.; Colwell, K. S.; Goddard, W. A., III; Skiff, W. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 10024–10035.

(32) Brudvig, G. W. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. B: Biol. Sci. 2008, 363, 1211–1219.

(33) Rodríguez, M.; Romero, I.; Llobet, A.; Deronzier, A.; Biner, M.; Parella, T.; Stoeckli-Evans, H. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 4150–4156.

(34) (a) Benhamou, L.; Lachkar, M.; Mandon, D.; Welter, R. Dalton Trans. 2008, 6996–7003. (b) Goodson, P. A.; Oki, A. R.; Glerup, J.; Hodgson, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6248–6254. (c) Hayashi, Y.;

Kayatani, T.; Sugimoto, H.; Suzuki, M.; Inomata, K.; Uehara, A.; Mizutani, Y.; Kitagawa, T.; Maeda, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 11220–11229.

(35) Concepcion, J. J.; Jurss, J. W.; Templeton, J. L.; Meyer, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16462–16463.

(36) Sala, X.; Ertem, M. Z.; Vigara, L.; Todorova, T. K.; Chen, W.; Rocha, R. C.; Aquilante, F.; Cramer, C. J.; Gagliardi, L.; Llobet, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7745–7747.

(37) Francás, L.; Sala, X.; Escudero-Adán, E.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Escriche, L. s.; Llobet, A. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 2771–2781.

(38) Wasylenko, D. J.; Ganesamoorthy, C.; Henderson, M. A.; Koivisto, B. D.; Osthoff, H. D.; Berlinguette, C. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16094–16106.

(39) After submission of this article, a report by Fillol, Costas, and coworkers was published on an analogous FeTPA complex that has high activity for water oxidation, see: Fillol, J. L.; Codolà, Z.; Garcia-Bosch, I.; Gómez, L.; Pla, J. J.; Costas, M. Nature Chem. 2011, 3, 807-813.